No. HSR-H (RTI Appeal) 62/2012 DIRECTORATE OF HEALTH SAFTY & REGULATION RED CROSS BHAWAN, RAJ BHAWAN ROAD SHIMLA-2, HIMACHAL PRADESH Tel. No. 0177 2621383

To

PIO-cum-Drug Inspector, O/o the State Drug Controller, Baddi, Distt. Solan, H.P.

Dated

Shimla-2, the

Subject:

Appeal preferred by Sh. Prashant Reddy T., Advocate under RTI Act, 2005 against the supply of incomplete information sought by him from the PIO-cum- Drug Inspector, O/o SDC Baddi, Distt. Solan, H.P.

Sir,

Please find enclosed herewith an order on appeal (No. 7/2015) preferred by Sh. Prashant Reddy T. Advocate, C/o: Lex One Partners, E-19, LGF, Jungpura Extension, New Delhi – 110014 for information and necessary Compliance.

Encls. 2 Nos

28 OCT 2015

(Rameshwar Sharma), HAS
First Appellate Authority –c um
Director,
Health Safety & Regulation,
Himachal Pradesh.

Endst. No. As above - 6614 Copy to:

Dated; Shimla-2;

Sh. Prashant Reddy T. Advocate, C/o: Lex One Partners, E-19, LGF, Jungpura Extension, New Delhi – 110014) alongwith copy of the order for information please.

(Rameshwar Sharma), HAS
First Appellate Authority —c um
Director,
Health Safety & Regulation,
Himachal Pradesh.

DIRECTORATE OF HEALTH SAFETY & REGULATION HIMACHAL PRADESH, RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR RAJ BHAWAN SHIMLA – 2

Before: Sh. Rameshwar Sharma, DHSR-cum-FAA

Date of Decision:

17/10/2015

Appeal/s No. 7/2015

Appellant:

Sh. Prashant Reddy T. Advocate, C/o: Lex One Partners, E-

19, LGF, Jungpura Extension, New Delhi – 110014.

Not Present

 V_{S}

Respondent:

PIO-cum-Drug Inspector, O/o the State Drug Controller, Baddi,

Distt. Solan, H.P.

Present

ORDER

Appellant Sh. Prashant Reddy T. Advocate, C/o: Lex One Partners, E-19, LGF, Jungpura Extension, New Delhi – 110014 applied for information before the Public Information Officer-cum- Drug Inspector, O/o the State Drug Controller, Baddi, Distt. Solan, H.P. vide his application dated 30-07-2015. The point wise information sought was as under:-

- 1. Does the Controller follow any specific rules or guidelines to recall a drug that is detected as being of 'Not of Standard Quality'. Please provide the applicant with a copy of such rules or guidelines.
- 2. What is the procedure followed by the Controller while appropriate legal action when a sample is detected to be of 'Not of Standard Quality'. Does the Controller initiate criminal prosecution in all cases or is suspension of license enough. The PIO is requested to

please provide the applicant with a copy of procedure/rules to be followed while deciding appropriate legal action in such cases.

Appellant plea as per his letter of appeal perused. PIO also heard. PIO in his reply to appellant has referred to the guidelines of CDSO in the official website which the appellant applicant may go through. However, the print copy of cdso guidelines may also be supplied.

The applicant requested in its appeal only to supply of information as per Q. No. 1. For the discussion, hereinbefore, the PIO is directed to supply the print copy of guidelines which has been desired by the appellant.

The copy of the order be supplied to all concerned and file be consigned to record room.

Announced

(Rameshwar Sharma), HAS First Appellate Authority -c um Director,

Health Safety & Regulation, Himachal Pradesh.