

**GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
(DRUGS CONTROL DEPARTMENT)**

No.DCD/ADC/BNG-3/RTI/ /15-16
947

Office of the Assistant Drugs Controller,
Palace Road, Bangalore Circle-3
Dated, 23 - 11 - 15

To,
Sri. Prashant Reddy T. (Advocate)
C/o Lex One Partners, E-19, LGF,
Jungpura Extension,
New Delhi 110014.

Sir,

Sub: Your Application dated:07.10.2015

With reference to the above, the document requested under RTI vide your application cited under reference is enclosed herewith.

Yours faithfully,



Goni Fakkirappa
Assistant Drugs Controller-1,
Bangalore Circle-3, Bangalore.

CR No 737/11
15/9/11

Sd/- G.O.R
del red

RBLRP-69

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
ORDER SHEET

Form No.3(Criminal)
Order Sheet
Ch. VIII R.I.(1) 18,20(2)
Ch. VII R.3

IN THE COURT OF THE SPECIAL COURT
(ECONOMIC OFFENCES), BANGALORE.

C.C.NO. 400 / 2010

COMPLAINANT

The Drugs Inspector,
Bangalore circle-3,
Bangalore.

ACCUSED


1.M/s.Quasar Labs. Pvt. Ltd.,
Uttaranchal-248001 Rep. By A-2.
2.B.Suresh Babu, Managing Director &
Mfg. Chemist.
M/s.Quasar Labs. Pvt. Ltd.,
Uttaranchal-001.

Date of Order or proceeding 1	Order or Proceeding Signature of Presiding Officer 2	Signature of Parties or Pleaders when necessary. 3
14-10-2010	<p>Complaint presented on 14/10/2010 by the complainant against the accused under Sec.200 of Cr.P.C.</p> <p><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>Check & Putup.</p> <p>Sd/- P.O. 14/10/2010</p> <p>Perused the record. There are grounds to take cognizance and to issue process against the accused No.1 & 2 for an offence <u>U/s.18(a)(i)</u> punishable u/s. <u>27(d)</u> of Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940. Accordingly cognizance is taken against Accused No.1 & 2. Register the case against Accused No.1 & 2. Register the case and issue summons to Accused No.1 & 2 r/b: 14-12-2010</p>	

Checked

Shr.




P.O.
14/10/2010

C.P. 600/1915

(3)

23/6/2011

P.O. is on Leave
Hence Case is Adjourned to 11/6/11

P.O.
11/6/2011

6/6/2011

Comptroller
Police
At Lucknow
Magistrate

A-1 & 2 are
EPUs 317 are filed;
So far Accused
not appeared; have not
obtained bail. Subsequent
opportunity given; cannot
seeing time; prayed
EP is rejected as there is
no doubt for full facts
produced or subsequent
evidence shown.

Through NBK to Accused
through Counsel 'A.P.'
dated 27-8-2011

1/8/11

4-6-2011



2/8/11 G.D.R. Adv. A 2

Files Apple v. 309 wpc
de 70(2) wpc
de 252 wpc.

A1 & 2
Accused & A2 present
Ld. Counsel & G.D.R.
filed - App. v. 309,
70(2) CrPc - v. 252 CrPc
Applicant of Accused
filed. Akmal Khilji

5

2-8-2011

C.C.NO.400/2010

Sr.APP submitted that, maximum sentence may be imposed. Considering the nature of the offence and circumstances of alleged offence and also on the basis of decision a lenient view is to be taken while imposing the sentence.

Considering the submission and that, it is not adulterated or spurious in nature and Alleged offence is the 1st offence, as such lenient view is taken while imposing the sentence.

Further Counsel submitted that there is adequate and special reasons for imposing sentence less than one year as prescribed under S.27(d). Further relied upon unreported dictum in Criminal Appeal No.1309/2003, dated: 18.11.03 and stated that the sentence imposed by the Special Court was confirmed in a similar case and Cr.LAP. No.103(1979)1 Hon'ble S.C. cases 568. Observation considered.

ORDER

The Accused No.2 is sentence to undergo SI till raising of the court and further directed to pay fine of Rs.5,000/- for the offence u/s.18(a)(i) p/u.s.27(d) of D & C Act, I/d to undergo SI for 6 months. NBW against A-2 is recalled. It is considered that the offence alleged is prior to amendment the Drugs & Cosmetic Act, 2009. Further the accused was sold out factory and handed over to the purchaser and he is having liability and working ^{on} salary basis. Case is filed.

fine amount Rs.5000/-
2-8-2011

2-8-2011
S.D. Reddy
A.M. Reddy

2-8-2011
D. Srinivasan

2-8-2011
D. Srinivasan

PRESIDING OFFICER.

2-8-2011
Accused under jail
S.S.P.C.

Presiding Officer
Criminal Court